

AT A MEETING OF THE MIDDLESEX COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
HELD ON TUESDAY, JANUARY 8, 2002, IN THE BOARD ROOM OF THE
WOODWARD BUILDING, SALUDA, VIRGINIA:

Present: Kenneth W. Williams, Pinetop District
Fred S. Crittenden, Pinetop District
John D. Miller, Jr., Saluda District
Lenora O. Weber, Saluda District
Wayne Jessie, Sr., Jamaica District

Charles M. Culley, Jr., County Administrator

COURTHOUSE

Mr. Culley commented that he had heard that Judge Long was concerned with cutting more space from the plan. Mr. Culley noted that he believed the designated space meets the guidelines. It had been suggested that the general district court's jury deliberation room could be converted into a video arraignment area for juvenile court. Mr. Bernard Mazanec from the firm Wiley and Wilson presented a revised courthouse design proposal, which included a basement and two-stories above. This design allowed for some court services offices to be located in 1/3 to 1/2 of the basement; the remainder of the basement would be left for future use; the first floor would house the magistrates office, juvenile court uses and general district court, and the second floor would be used for circuit court functions. The jury deliberation area previously allocated to general district court could be converted into space for juvenile court hearings. Space for the juvenile court clerk had been allocated to the basement. Mr. Mazanec noted that this plan meets the State requirements if the Judges are willing to allow for some sharing of space. Mrs. Weber requested that the architects and representatives of the Board meet with the Judges to try and come up with an agreeable plan.

Cost estimates for this proposal were given:

- | | |
|---|----------------|
| • Two-story court building | \$4,064,000 |
| • Site development, parking, drainage inlets, landscaping | 324,000 |
| • Sanitary sewer (plant on parcel on Route 618) | 202,000 |
| • Utilities – water and fire system | 95,000 |
| • Court security equipment | 150,000 |
| • Contingency – 15 percent | 725,000 |
| • Architectural/engineering fee – 9 percent | <u>500,000</u> |
| | \$6,060,000 |
- These estimates do not include items such as furniture, computer networks or land purchases for retention pond, sanitary sewer, NPDES permits, etc

It was stated that if all site issues are resolved by February 10th, drawings and bid proposals could be prepared within three months. Mrs. Weber suggested touring

other new courthouses of similar size. Mr. Crittenden questioned how Mathews County was able to get by with a much smaller design, since the same judges are involved. It was noted that there has been cooperation between Mathews County and the judges and possibly some of the standards had been waived.

CLOSED MEETING

The motion to conduct a closed meeting for the purpose of discussing the acquisition of real property for a public purpose, pursuant to §2.2-3711.A.3 of the Code of Virginia, was made by Mr. Miller, seconded by Mrs. Weber and carried unanimously. Upon reconvening in open session, the following motion was made by Mr. Crittenden, seconded by Mr. Jessie and carried unanimously:

- To the best of the members' knowledge only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under Code of Virginia §2.2-3711.A.3 and identified in the motion by which the Closed Meeting convened were heard, discussed, or considered by the public body.

Action:

As a result of the Closed Meeting there was no action taken.

ADJOURN

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned until January 15, 2002.

Kenneth W. Williams, Chairman
Board of Supervisors