

AT A MEETING OF THE MIDDLESEX COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
HELD ON TUESDAY, DECEMBER 18, 2007, IN THE BOARD ROOM OF THE  
WOODWARD BUILDING, SALUDA, VIRGINIA:

Present: John D. Miller, Jr., Saluda District  
Robert A. Crump, Saluda District  
Fred S. Crittenden, Pinetop District  
Kenneth W. Williams, Pinetop District  
Wayne Jessie, Sr., Jamaica District

Michael T. Soberick, County Attorney  
Charles M. Culley, Jr., County Administrator  
Marcia Jones, Assistant Administrator

**CALL TO ORDER**

The Chairman Mr. Miller called the meeting to order at 7:30 P.M. Mr. Jessie offered a prayer and Mr. Culley led the group in the Pledge of Allegiance.

**PUBLIC HEARING – REZONING APPLICATION 2007-02**

Planning Director, George Hayfield, presented Rezoning Application 2007-02, an application submitted by Gene Ruark to rezone 326 acres of a total 630 from Low Density Rural to Residential, with proffers. This property is located at Tax Map 37-5 and a portion of 37-99. It has been proffered that 389 single family lots would be created to be served by a central sewer and water system. Additional proffers have been added for preservation of 48% open space (180 more acres than required), more buffers and cash proffers to county, fire and rescue departments. A total of twenty-six (26) proffers have been submitted. One entrance would be established off of Route 33, with the development not visible from Route 33. Emergency vehicles could also enter via a utility easement on the western side of the property. Mr. Hayfield stated that the proposed plan is consistent with the following areas of the comprehensive plan: retains forest, agricultural land and open space, enhances the historic, scenic, cultural and architectural character, is visually attractive and compatible with natural beauty, is a residential development primarily single family dwellings, and preserves open space atmosphere. The enlarged minimum house size that has been proffered changes the economic level of proposed purchasers. The schools have the capacity to handle the increased population of the county proposed by this development. A community center/clubhouse with restaurant is also proposed.

Mr. Miller opened the hearing for comments from the public. Mr. Charles Records of Zandler Development, representing Mr. Ruark, discussed the proposed project, making the following points: this is a significant change from the original plan proposed in April 2007, with proffered central sewer and water; cash proffers have been added for the fire department, along with the rescue squad and County; entrance

road alignment has been changed to create more of a buffer to the adjoining property owner; open space is increased from 48%; this is a total 10- phase development, with each phase no more than 50 lots; phase 1 was rezoned to residential in April 2007. It is proffered that the next phase cannot be started until 75% of the previous phase has been sold; a boulevard entrance has been established, with two lanes in and out to make for easier entrance by fire and rescue.

The following citizens spoke: Kimball Hartley – adjoining property owner, endorsed the project. Dan Downs – there was a mixed vote from the Planning Commission; encouraged Board to table, and take time in reaching a decision; citizens can still make comments to individual Board members or send letters. Wayne Hare spoke in favor; good development with amenities not often seen in development of this cost range. Mary Ann Willis – concerned that there is not enough quality open space for a development of this size. Jerry Suyes – questioned whether project meets comprehensive plan recommendations. It should be contiguous to existing developed areas. Need to use the Plan as a guideline; if not going to use it, then Board should not waste money for consultants and the time of citizens. David Milby – concerned with environment – a new fiscal impact study should be done. Believed the number of school children will be higher – should use the Gloucester County criteria, which would double the number of students per household, creating a need for school construction; recommendation for approval was based on \$5,000.00 coming to County – this has been reduced to \$3,000.00 per home. John Coe – where will sewer plants be located; will there be a water tower? Mr. Records commented that the design of the water and sewer system would be determined at subdivision approval time. Julie Colby questioned whether one entrance road would be enough. There being no further comment, the public portion of the hearing was closed.

Mr. Miller questioned whether the restaurant would be open to the public. Mr. Records stated it would be open to the public and was permitted as an accessory use to the country club. No commercial uses would be allowed on individual lots. Mr. Soberick had several questions regarding the proffers. Mr. Williams had concerns about the usable open space. Mr. Crump commented that the County Attorney needed more time to review the submitted proffers; they may need to be “cleaned up” to make sure each is clear to the next person. Mr. Jessie agreed that the Board should look at the application a little more. He added that another entrance to the development would be good to have. Mr. Crump reminded the Board that three years ago the Board had accepted Mr. Ruark’s proffer not to connect the two developments or allow this portion of it to exit from Route 629. Mr. Crittenden questioned whether there would be one sewage treatment plant or multiple plants for each phase. Mr. Records commented that the treatment system has not been designed; having a central system does not indicate the number of plants, but by the County’s definition means that the sewage is removed from the property and undergoes first and second treatment.

A motion was made by Mr. Williams, seconded by Mr. Jessie to send the application back to the Planning Commission with a list of questions and for the County Attorney to meet with the applicant regarding the proffers. This motion was approved

unanimously. Following a short recess, the Board reconvened. Mr. Soberick informed the Board that he did not believe they could make the previous motion. The Board could take the following actions: approve the application with the existing proffers; deny the application and the applicant cannot resubmit for a year; table action, during which time the applicant can withdraw and reapply. The motion to withdraw the previous motion and second was made by Mr. Williams, seconded by Mr. Jessie and carried unanimously. Mr. Ruark stated that he would like to withdraw the application to allow time for further work on the plan and proffers with Mr. Records and Mr. Soberick. The motion to accept the withdrawal and to waive fees for reapplication was made by Mr. Williams, seconded by Mr. Crump and carried unanimously.

### **PUBLIC HEARING – TAX RELIEF**

Board members reviewed the proposed revisions to the Tax Relief for the Elderly Ordinance which incorporates changes recommended by the Commissioner of the Revenue, including raising the amount of net worth allowed. Mr. Miller opened the hearing for comments from the public. There being no comments, the public portion of the hearing was closed. The motion to adopt the revisions to the ordinance was made by Mr. Crump, seconded by Mr. Jessie and carried unanimously:

AN ORDINANCE TO PROVIDE FOR THE EXEMPTION  
FROM TAXATION OF CERTAIN REAL ESTATE IN MIDDLESEX  
COUNTY, VIRGINIA, OWNED AND OCCUPIED BY ELDERLY  
PERSONS OR TOTALLY DISABLED PERSONS.

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Middlesex County, Virginia, pursuant to Sections 15.2-1427 and 58.1-3210 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 and 1984, as amended, as follows:

#### **ARTICLE 1: GENERAL PROVISIONS**

**1-1** This ordinance shall be known and may be cited as the Middlesex County Property Tax Exemption Ordinance.

#### **ARTICLE 2: TAX EXEMPTED**

**2-1** Real estate, or any portion thereof, owned by, and occupied as the sole dwelling of a person or persons not less than sixty-five (65) years of age or a person who is determined to be permanently and totally disabled as provided herein shall be exempt from real estate taxes in the amounts as set forth elsewhere in this Article.

#### **ARTICLE 3: DEFINITIONS**

**3-1** The following words and phrases used shall, for the purposes of this ordinance, have the following meanings, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

**3-1-1** INCOME: Income from whatever source derived, including but not limited to, social security payments, inheritance, gifts, gains from the sale or exchange of assets, proceeds of insurance, welfare receipts and benefits under the Virginia Supplemental Retirement System.

**3-1-2** NET COMBINED FINANCIAL WORTH: The fair market value of all assets, tangible or intangible, legal or equitable, of the owner or owners, and the spouse of any owner, less the liabilities of

such person or persons, but excluding the value of the dwelling and one (1) acre of the land, as provided in Section 4-1-3 of this ordinance.

**3-1-3 PERMANENTLY AND TOTALLY DISABLED:** A person shall be deemed permanently and totally disable if he is so certified as required in §58.1-3213-D of the Code of Virginia.

**3-1-4 AFFIDAVIT:** The Real Estate Tax Exemption Affidavit.

**3-1-5 COUNTY:** Middlesex County, Virginia.

**3-1-6 COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE:** The Commissioner of the Revenue of Middlesex County, Virginia, or his duly authorized deputies or agent.

**3-1-7 DWELLING:** The full-time residence, including manufactured homes as defined in §36-85.3, of the person or persons claiming exemption.

**3-1-8 EXEMPTION:** Exemption from the real estate tax of the County of Middlesex, according to the provisions of this ordinance.

**3-1-9 PROPERTY:** Real property, manufactured housing and one car or pick up.

**3-1-10 TAXABLE YEAR:** The calendar year, from January 1 until December 31, for which exemption is claimed.

#### **ARTICLE 4: QUALIFICATION FOR EXEMPTION**

**4-1** Such elderly or disable exemptions may be granted for any tax year following the date that the head of the household and/or his or her spouse occupying such dwelling and owning title or partial title thereto reaches the age of sixty-five (65) years and in addition:

**4-1-1** If such person is under sixty-five (65) years of age, such form shall have attached thereto a certification by the Veterans Administration, Social Security Administration, Railroad Retirement Board, or, if such person is not eligible for certification by any of these agencies, a sworn affidavit by two (2) medical doctors licensed to practice medicine in the Commonwealth, to the effect that such person is permanently and totally disable, as defined in Section 3-1-3 of this ordinance, and that at least one of the medical doctors has physically examined the applicant.

**4-1-2** The total combined income during the immediately preceding calendar year from all sources of the owners of the dwelling living therein and of the owners' relatives living in the dwelling does not exceed Twenty-five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000), provided that the first Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000.00) of the income of each relative, other than spouse, of the owner or owners, who is living in the dwelling shall not be included in such total.

**4-1-3** The net combined financial worth, including equitable interests, as of the thirty-first day of December of the immediately preceding calendar year, of the owner, and of the spouse of the owner, excluding the value of the dwelling and the land not exceeding one acre, upon which it is situated, does not exceed \$75,000.

**4-1-4** One automobile or pick-up truck owned and used primarily by or for a qualifying person, as defined in §58.1-3506.3, will be allowed the same percentage of tax relief on that one automobile as that qualifying individual is entitled to on real property.

#### **ARTICLE 5: AMOUNT EXEMPT**

**5-1** Any person or persons qualifying for such exemption shall be exempt from taxation of real estate to the extent indicated below, based on income:

Total Combined Income Percentage Exemption of Tax

Less than \$13,000	100%
\$13,001 to \$16,000	80%
\$16,001 to \$19,000	60%
\$19,001 to \$22,000	40%
\$22,000 to \$25,000	20%
over \$25,000	0%

**5-2** The Board of Supervisors will consider the United States Government Bureau of Labor Statistics Consumer Price Index (CPI) for the period ending December 31 of the year previous to the establishment of the annual real estate tax levy and adjust the income exemption amounts based on the CPI increase or decrease.

**5-3** In no case shall the total annual exemption exceed Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00).

**ARTICLE 6: APPLICATION**

**6-1** Any person or persons claiming such exemption shall file every three (3) years with the Commissioner of Revenue, on forms to be supplied by the County, an affidavit setting forth the names of the related persons occupying such real estate, and stating that the total combined income from all sources of the person or persons as specified in Article 4 does not exceed the limits prescribed in this ordinance. During the two (2) year period between the filing of aforesaid affidavits, any person who has so qualified shall file an annual certification that no information contained on the last preceding affidavit has changed to violate the limitations and conditions set forth herein. Said certification shall be filed on forms supplied by the County at the time and place specified in this ordinance.

**6-2** Such affidavit or certification shall be filed on or after the first day of January, but no later than the first day of April, of each year in which an exemption is sought. An exception may be made to the filing date, not to exceed 90 days, in cases of first time filers and hardship cases. Each instance of late filing must be evaluated on the merits of that individual case by the commissioner of the revenue. (Pursuant to Code of Virginia, 58.1-3213.E)

**6-3** The Commissioner of Revenue shall also make such further inquiry of persons seeking such exemption, requiring answers under oath, as may be reasonably necessary to determine qualifications therefor as specified in this ordinance.

**6-4** Changes in respect to income, financial worth, ownership of property or other factors occurring during the taxable year for which the affidavit is filed and having the effect of exceeding or violating the limitations and conditions provided herein shall nullify any exemption for the then current taxable year and the taxable year immediately following.

**ARTICLE 7: SEVERABILITY**

**7-1** Should any article, section, subsection, paragraph, clause or other provision of this ordinance be decided by the courts to be unconstitutional or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the ordinance as a whole, or any part thereof, other than the part so held to be unconstitutional or invalid.

**ARTICLE 8: CONFLICTING ORDINANCES**

**8-1** All conflicting ordinances or parts thereof which are inconsistent with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

**ARTICLE 9: PENALTY FOR VIOLATION**

**9-1** Any applicant making false statements to obtain tax relief under this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed Two Hundred Dollars (\$200.00).

The effective date of this ordinance will be January 1, 2008.

Voting in Favor: Mr. Miller, Mr. Crump, Mr. Williams, Mr. Jessie, Mr. Crittenden

Voting Opposed: None

**PUBLIC HEARING – TAX DEFERRED**

The Board reviewed the ordinance for deferral of real estate taxes that has been proposed by the Commissioner of Revenue. Mr. Miller opened the hearing for comments from the public. There being none, the public portion of the hearing was closed. The motion to adopt the following ordinance was made by Mr. Crump, seconded by Mr. Crittenden and carried unanimously.

**AN ORDINANCE TO PROVIDE FOR THE DEFERRAL OF REAL ESTATE TAX IN MIDDLESEX COUNTY, VIRGINIA**

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Middlesex County, Virginia, pursuant to 58.1-3219 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 and 1984, amended as follows:

**ARTICLE 1: GENERAL PROVISIONS**

**1-1** This ordinance shall be known and may be cited as the Middlesex County Real Estate Tax Deferral Ordinance.

**ARTICLE 2: TAX DEFERRED**

**2-1** Any portion of the real estate tax which represents more than 105 percent of the base amount, as defined herein, owned by and occupied as the sole dwelling of a person or persons not less than fifty five (55) years of age who owned the property during the previous year may be deferred.

**ARTICLE 3: DEFINITIONS**

**BASE AMOUNT OF NON-DEFERRABLE TAX;** The amount of the real estate tax for the first full year of ownership after the adoption of the program multiplied by 105 percent

**COUNTY:** Middlesex County, Virginia

**COMMISSIONER OF THE REVENUE:** The Commissioner of the Revenue of Middlesex County, Virginia, or his duly authorized deputies or agent.

**DEFERRAL:** The amount of the tax over 105% of the real estate tax on that same property owned by the taxpayer in the previous year.

**DWELLING:** The full time residence of the owner/owners of the real estate and manufactured homes, as defined in §36-85.3, claiming deferral of real estate tax.

PROPERTY: Real estate, including any dwelling there on.

TAXABLE YEAR: The calendar year, from January 1 until December 31, for which exemption is claimed.

**ARTICLE 4: QUALIFICATION FOR DEFERRAL**

**4-1** The deferral program applies to all owners of real estate, at least fifty five (55) years of age, who owned that same real estate, for which deferral is being claimed, in the previous year and in addition, the tax on the real estate, for which deferral is being claimed, must have increased more than 105% since the previous year with no change having taken place to increase the value of said real estate.

**ARTICLE 5: CONDITIONS OF DEFERRAL**

**5-1** The deferred amount may include any or all tax which is greater than 105% of the tax in the previous year.

**5-2** The deferred amount shall be subject to interest computed at the rate established pursuant to §6621 of the Internal Revenue Code.

**5-3** The accumulated amount of taxes deferred and interest shall be paid to Middlesex County by the owner upon the sale or transfer of the property, or from the estate of the decedent within one year after the death of the owner.

**5-4** If the real estate is owned jointly and all such owners applied and qualified for the deferral program, the death of one of the joint owners shall not disqualify the survivor or survivors from participating in the deferral program. The accumulated deferred taxes and interest shall be paid within one year of the date of death of the last qualifying owner.

**5-5** The accumulated amount of tax deferred and interest shall constitute a lien upon the real estate.

**ARTICLE 6: COMPUTATION OF DEFERRED AMOUNT**

**6-1** The amount of the real estate tax deferred shall be calculated by subtracting from the real estate tax for the current tax year the "base amount of non-deferrable tax". The base amount of non-deferrable tax for each tax year shall equal the real estate tax in the first full tax year of ownership by such taxpayer after the adoption of the program, multiplied by 105 percent.

**ARTICLE 7: LIMITATIONS**

**7-1** The deferral program shall not apply to the following:

1. Real estate which participates in the Tax Relief Program for the Elderly or Totally Disabled, pursuant to §58.1-32.10, *et. seq.* of the Code of Virginia;
2. Persons who are delinquent on any portion of real estate taxes for which deferral is sought;
3. Real estate assessed in accordance with the Land Use Program, "adopted pursuant to §58.1-3230 of the Code of Virginia.

**ARTICLE 8: APPLICATION FOR DEFERRAL**

**8-1** Application must be written and must be made to the commissioner of the revenue or his deputy on or before April 1 each year, however; in the year of general reassessment, application can be made until 30 days following the date that the reassessment notice is mailed. Also, application must be made

by the landowner qualifying for the deferral, must include the percent of the deferrable tax that is requested and must be signed by the person qualifying for the deferral.

The effective date of this ordinance will be January 1, 2008.

Voting in Favor: Mr. Miller, Mr. Crump, Mr. Williams, Mr. Jessie, Mr. Crittenden

Voting Opposed: None

## **BORROWING RESOLUTION AND LOAN AGREEMENT**

The motion to approve the borrowing resolution and loan agreement between the County and the Industrial Development Authority was made by Mr. Jessie, seconded by Mr. Crittenden. The motion carried by a vote of four in favor; one opposed (Mr. Williams).

### **RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF MIDDLESEX, VIRGINIA APPROVING THE FINANCING OF AIRPORT HANGARS FOR THE COUNTY BY THE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF MIDDLESEX COUNTY, VIRGINIA**

**WHEREAS**, the County of Middlesex, Virginia (**the "County"**) is a political subdivision organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia;

**WHEREAS**, the County intends to acquire, construct, equip, install and furnish new 10-unit T hangars (**the "Hangars"**) for use at the County Airport to be located on real property in the County and to rent the Hangars to airplane owners under rental agreements that will provide rental income for the use of the Hangars (**the "Rentals"**);

**WHEREAS**, the Industrial Development Authority of Middlesex County, Virginia (**the "Authority"**) at the request of the County, has agreed to provide financing for a portion of the costs of the Hangars, up to a maximum of \$350,000 at a rate of 5% per annum, pursuant to a 10 year amortization, to be evidenced by a revenue note of the County (**the "Revenue Note"**) a copy of which is attached to this Resolution, with repayment of the Revenue Note to be made by the County, from Rentals, and additionally supported by the moral obligation of the Board of Supervisors of the County (**the "Board of Supervisors"**);

#### **NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AS FOLLOWS:**

Section 1. The Board hereby authorizes and approves the financing for the Hangars and the form of the Revenue Note up to a maximum of \$350,000 at a rate of 5% per annum, with a 10 year amortization.

Section 2. The Revenue Note shall be in substantially the form approved at this meeting with such changes, modifications, amendments and completions as may be deemed necessary or appropriate by the officer executing the Revenue Note and whose signature thereon shall be conclusive evidence of such necessity or appropriateness.

Section 3. The Chairman or Vice Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and County Administrator are each hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver the Revenue Note to the Authority in connection with such financing, and any other document, instrument or certificate required or appropriate in connection with the closing of such financing, which document, instrument or certificate is hereby authorized and approved with such changes, modifications, completions or amendments as may be deemed necessary or appropriate by such officers, the execution of which shall be conclusive evidence of such necessity or

appropriateness. In connection with such financing, the County's Bond Counsel, Sands, Anderson, Marks & Miller, a Professional Corporation, is hereby directed to work with the County and Authority in preparing the necessary documents to conclude this financing.

Section 4. The Hangars project is hereby declared to be essential to the efficient operation of the County, and the Board of Supervisors states that it is, and is anticipated to continue to be, essential to the operation of the County during the term of the Revenue Note. The Board of Supervisors, while recognizing that it is not empowered to make any binding commitment to make appropriations beyond the current fiscal year, hereby states its intent to make annual appropriations in future fiscal years in amounts sufficient to make all payments under the Revenue Note and hereby recommends that future Boards of Supervisors do likewise during the term of the Revenue Note.

Section 5. This Resolution shall be immediately effective

PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS 18<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2007.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman, Board of Supervisors  
of the County of Middlesex, Virginia

Attested to:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk, Board of Supervisors  
of the County of Middlesex, Virginia

The vote on the following resolution was as follows:

<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>
John D. Miller, Jr	Kenneth W. Williams
Robert A. Crump	
Wayne H. Jessie, Sr.	
Fred S. Crittenden	

## **HISTORIC COURTHOUSE RENOVATIONS**

Mr. Culley presented the following cost estimates associated with renovations in the historic courthouse:

Historic Courthouse Renovations	
Fluorescent Lighting	\$2,341.00
Ceiling Tiles*	
Downstairs	\$1,825.00
Upstairs	\$10,400.00
Carpet	
Downstairs	\$5,014.53

Upstairs	\$12,319.42
Window removal/door installation, Concrete work & portico	\$30,629.70
Construction Options	\$6,130.00
Table (seats 13)	\$11,388.84
Chairs (15 @ \$250.00 each)	\$4,050.00
Miscellaneous work by County, including bathroom fixtures, painting, wiring, speakers	\$12,000.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$96,098.49</b>

\*grid installed & tiles provided; County  
install tiles

Mr. Williams expressed his opposition to construction of a new table for the meeting area. Mr. Crittenden suggested dividing the work into phases. Mr. Jessie stated that all the work needed to be done now. Not only would it be more expensive to phase the project, but it is all needed now. The motion to approve the renovations and construction of a new table by Rappahannock Woodworks was made by Mr. Crump, seconded by Mr. Jessie and carried unanimously.

### **APPROPRIATIONS**

The motion to approve the appropriation of E911 Wireless Grant funds to purchase OfficeGIS and Centerline Attribute Transfer used in the mapping department was made by Mr. Williams, seconded by Mr. Crump and carried unanimously. FROM: 3-1-24040-0900, \$35,255.00 TO: 4-1-36090-3002.

The motion to approve the appropriation of funds received from the Deltaville Maritime Museum for the purchase of landscaping plants was made by Mr. Crump, seconded by Mr. Jessie and carried unanimously. FROM: 3-1-18990-0015, \$8,412.35 TO: 4-1-43040-3012.

### **DISBURSEMENTS**

The motion to approve disbursements was made by Mr. Crump, seconded by Mr. Jessie and carried unanimously. Checks numbered 60343 through 60449 for \$146,226.90 were disbursed as approved.

## **PAYROLL**

The motion to approve payroll for the month of December was made by Mr. Williams, seconded by Mr. Crump and carried unanimously. Checks numbered 60274 through 60342 totaling \$311,290.92 were distributed as approved.

## **OTHER ITEMS**

Mr. Culley announced that he would be meeting with a representative of the VMRC to discuss access to the loading dock at Water View.

Hangar construction has begun. Mr. Culley is to request that the contractor leave topsoil on site.

## **CLOSED MEETING**

The motion to meet in Closed Session for consultation with legal counsel regarding potential litigation, pursuant to §2.2-3711.A7, was made by Mr. Jessie, seconded by Mr. Crump and carried unanimously. Upon reconvening in open session, the following motion was made by Mr. Williams, seconded by Mr. Crittenden and carried unanimously:

- To the best of the members' knowledge only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under Code of Virginia §2.2-3711.A7 as identified in the motion by which the Closed Meeting convened were heard, discussed, or considered by the public body.

Action:

There was no action taken as a result of the closed session.

## **ADJOURN**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned. The next meeting would be held on Wednesday, January 2, 2008. This would be the annual meeting for 2008.

---

John D. Miller, Jr., Chairman  
Board of Supervisors